

Simermeyer, Sequoyah

From: Simermeyer, Sequoyah
Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2011 10:51 AM
To: Tsosie, Paul
Cc: Kunesh, Patrice
Subject: Re: Tejon v. Salazar Moot court

Paul, I am traveling back from USET today. I am not familiar with this. I'd like to touch talk in person when I am back in the office on Monday. It would be great if Patrice is available and could meet, too. Thanks.

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 9, 2011, at 1:46 PM, "Tsosie, Paul" <Paul_Tsosie@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

I defer to Sequoyah on how to respond....he should touch base with our political team....hold on any response

From: Fleming, Lee
Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2011 11:37 AM
To: Simermeyer, Sequoyah; Tsosie, Paul
Subject: FW: Tejon v. Salazar Moot court

Patrice included me in this e-mail. How do I or should I respond? Is there something pending with regard to Tejon that is to be acted upon in November?

Lee

From: Kunesh, Patrice
Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2011 10:06 AM
To: Coen, Barbara; Berrigan, Michael; Wiseman, Maria; Keep, Scott
Cc: Fleming, Lee
Subject: RE: Tejon v. Salazar Moot court

FOIA5A

FOIA5C



From: Coen, Barbara
Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2011 7:45 AM

To: Kunes, Patrice; Berrigan, Michael; Wiseman, Maria; Keep, Scott
Cc: Fleming, Lee
Subject: Tejon v. Salazar Moot court

On Nov. 2 the court in California set oral argument on the pending motions to dismiss for Dec. 2, 2011. Attached are the US motion to dismiss the second amended complaint and our reply brief. A moot court is scheduled for Nov. 30 at 2-3:30 at PHB. Let me know if you want to attend.

This case concerns the Kawaiisu Tribe of Tejon, seeking to be put on the Federal Register list of recognized tribal entities, challenging the US failure to treat them as a fed recog tribe, and requesting to be excused from exhausting the administrative process, 25 CFR Part 83.

BC